Previous references.

File No. 34 of 01.

1901-2

Serial Nos. 2 «(1-2)

(Separate Revenue)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Major **Part B.** for July 1902

SUBJECT.

Inamdars' claim of Kayamgutta Sulekoppe' village Kunigal Taluk

---

Sl. 1 From The Excise Comr in Mysore
No 6889/312 Dated 7-5-1902.
Submits the results of enquiry held regarding the Loddy Revenue of the Kayamgutta village of Sulekoppa Kunigal Taluk.

Sl 2. To (1) The Excise Comr in Mysore
(2) The Comptroller Mysore Govt
(3) The Dy Comr Tumkur
No. 15-20 Dated 2-VII-02
observes that the Kayamguttavar of the village referred to above ~~deals with the~~ is not entitled to any share of the Loddy revenue of the village

G. R. S. 58

-B 42-

14230
3 MAY 1902
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA SURVEY

FROM P. Ramaiya Esqr. B.A
Excise Commissioner
S. R. 3470 pii Mysore Sl. 1

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esqr. M.A. M.L.
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Department

Dated 25th April 1902
Despatched 7th May
Received

General No. 6889
No. 312
Enclosure No. 2

Submits Copy of the records of enquiry regarding the Toddy Revenue of the Kanjanpattu village Sulekuppe Kumigal Taluq, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District and states that the above village is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy.

Excise
8/5

Submit & 2 Cys with a draft

27/5

Recd 27-5-02.

Re 1889
312

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Dated Bangalore, 25th April 1902

From

P. A. Purnaiya Esqr: B.A
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To.

H. V. Nanjundiah Esqr: M.A., M.L
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen'l Rev. Department

Sir,

In submitting herewith copy of the record of enquiry regarding the Doddy Revenue of the Kayampatta village, Sulekupe, Kunigal Taluk, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the above village is entitled to Excise Revenue from Doddy & the Settlement register received from the Superintendent of Inam ---- Settlements shows that "all rights to Abkari and Forests are reserved".

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
servant

P. Purnaiya

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Enclosure 1

1 Sulekuppe is a Kayamgutta village in the Kunigal Taluk. There is a toddy shop in the village, but there is no date grove in it. The Inamdars have stated that the Toddy revenue of the village has not been conceded to them by the sanad and that they are not therefore entitled to the right of selling toddy in it. I therefore decide that that right vests in Government

2 The privilege of selling toddy in this village was sold by Government in the years 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively for Rs 102 and Rs 159-6-0 (including local cess).

(signed) B. K. Venkatavaradiengar
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

25th September 1894

On the above decision petitioner has not appealed to Government. Neither has he adduced further evidence calling for a reconsideration. The Inamdar is absent and he is clearly not entitled to Toddy Revenue

(signed) T. Paramaswa Iyer
Second General
Assistant Commissioner

11th January 1902

I concur
(signed) B. G. Krishnaiengar.
Deputy Commissioner

17th February 1902.

"Copy"
C. Seetharamiah
Asst for Deputy Commissioner

Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue Inquired into by the General Assistant Commissioner, Tumkur District

Name of Taluk	Name of Hobli	Name of Sarva Inam, Jodi or Kayamgutta village	Name of Inamdar, Jodidar or Kayamgutta dar	Documents, if relied upon	Witnesses examined	Finding	Amount awarded, if any	Grounds of decision
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Kunigal Taluk	Kothagere	Sulekuppe	Subramanya Iya	Nil	Nil	not entitled to receive Revenue	Nil	Inr. B.K. Venkataradinga has already decided that the Inamdar is not entitled to receive Revenue The Inamdar has not adduced any further evidence, and is absent.

Camp Kibbanhalli
Dated 19th January 1902

"Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner

(signed) T. Paramasiva Iyer
General Assistant Commissioner
Tumkur District

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Excise, dated 2nd July 1902.

READ—

Letter No. 6889—312, dated 25th April 1902, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, reporting with reference to Government Proceedings No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, the result of enquiry held regarding the toddy revenue of the Khayamgutta village of Sulekuppe, Kunigal Taluk, with the records of the case.

No. 18-20—Ex. F. 11-1901, DATED BANGALORE, 2ND JULY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—As the result of the enquiry, the Deputy Commissioner finds that the Inamdar is not entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy, and the Excise Commissioner agrees with him. Government concur in their opinion that the Khayamguttadar is not entitled to any of the items of the Excise revenue from toddy. This will be communicated to the Jodidar.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Exd—C.V

1902-1903

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 2nd July 1902

No. $\frac{18\text{-}20}{\text{Ex. F.11-1901}}$

Observing that the Khayamguttadar of Sulekuppe village, Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District, is not entitled to any item of the toddy revenue of the village.

SBP—10-7-02—50